

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,333	09/08/2006	Tatsuo Hoshino	ES/4662-217	6631
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 07/16/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			MACAULEY, SHERIDAN R	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/587,333	HOSHINO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651	

	SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) A The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		TINOT KELET WAS TI	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data- have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	ŭ
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 5-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
23 Salari See Committation Officer			
	/Ruth A. Davis/ Primary Examiner Art U	nit 1651	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but they are not found to persuasive because they are directed to invention recited in the proposed claims, which have not been entered. The claims are not entered because they would require further consideration and/or search, as discussed below.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed claim amendment will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For instance, the claims recite that the reaction medium is contacted with with cells consisting of the genus recited in the claims. This limitation was not recited in the previously examined claims and would require turner search and/or consideration. Applicant is also advised that the proposed amendments do not conform with 37 CFR 1.121. Specifically, the text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text, such as the text at the end of proposed claim 1.